## **Towards Topic-Aware Slide Generation For Academic Papers With Unsupervised Mutual Learning**



#### **Major Contribution**

- We conduct an in-depth slide analysis, and have mined frequently covered topics into the slide generation process.
- We adopt mutual learning in the unsupervised setting, where we provide a general and flexible framework for integrating prior knowledge to initiate the training.

### **Slide Analysis and Dataset**

- Analysis 50 presentations (1,127 slides in total) with corresponding papers.
- Measure Topic Popularity, Extraction Coverage, Extraction Distance to find our target.

Slide Topic	Popularity	Ext Cov. (#text bullets)	Ext Di
Task Background	100%	70.23%(1,154)	0.074
Related Work	86%	82.14%(1,034)	0.158
Contribution	90%	87.14%(995)	0.693
Approach	100%	74.39%(70)	0.481
Dataset	84%	89.12%(310)	0.298
Baseline	88%	90.17%(255)	0.12
Result	100%	72.33%(101)	0.248
Conclusion	76%	76.25%(258)	0.799
Future Work	72%	93.68%(186)	0.01

#### Figure 1. Slide Analysis

- We use the ACL Anthology Reference Corpus (Bird et al. 2008) [1] (22,878 publications) as training data.
- Select slide topic with high popularity and extraction coverage. (i.e. Bold slide topics in Figure 1.)

#### **Task Formulation and Architecture**

Given a slide topic T and a paper P with N sentences  $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_N\}$ , the goal is to select topic-relevant sentences.

Da-Wei Li<sup>1</sup>, Danqing Huang<sup>2</sup>, Tingting Ma<sup>3</sup>, Chin-Yew Lin<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> School of Software and Microelectronics, Peking University <sup>2</sup> Microsoft Research Asia <sup>3</sup> Harbin Institute of Technology



- ist.

#### **Figure 2. Overview**

### **Neural Sentence Selection Model.**

- Based on the work of Zhou et al. (2018) [2]
- Aims to capture the sentence semantic.

#### Log-Linear Classifier with Prior Knowledge.

- Integrated features:

  - General features: Sentence Position
  - Signal from general pre-trained model: BERT-QA

$$q(S_i|\gamma) = \frac{\exp}{\sum_k \exp}$$

Figure 3. Log-linear Model

### Learning Algorithm

- framework which trains two agents iteratively.
- of a large amount of unlabeled data.

• To provide initial guidance for unsupervised bootstrapping.

Task-specific features: Keywords, Belonging Section

 $\phi(\gamma \cdot \phi(S_i, T))$  $xp(\gamma \cdot \phi(S_k, T))$ 

Adopt mutual learning in our unsupervised training

The two-stage setting increases the robustness of the early-stage training, and let the model able to make use

Algorithm				
Require: U				
	linear m			
1:	Create s			
2:	Initializ			
	model w			
3:	while $\mathcal{L}_{i}$			
4:	Updat			
5:	Updat			
6:	end whi			
7:	for time			
8:	Samp			
9:	Updat			
10:	Use C			
11:	Updat			
12:	Use C			
13:	Updat			
14:	end for			

### **Experiment and Human Evaluation**

- and BLEU<sup>\$</sup>.
- stable and robustly.



#### Figure 4. Accuracy Curve and Human Evaluation Result

#### References

<sup>•</sup> The detail result and analysis can be found in the paper.



# Microsoft 溦软亚洲研究院

hm Training paradigm based on mutual learning e: Unlabeled papers U, neural-based model  $C_1$ , logear model  $C_2$ eate seed subset U' with keyword filtering tialize pseudo-target distribution with log-linear del weights  $\gamma$ ; ile  $\mathcal{L}_{neural}$  not converges **do** Update  $C_1$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{neural}, U'$ ; Jpdate  $C_2$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{log\_linear}, U'$ ; timestep  $t = 1, \cdots, T_1$  do sample a batch of unlabeled papers  $U_t$ Jpdate  $U' = U' + U_t;$ Use  $C_1$  to label U'; Jpdate  $C_2$  with pseudo-labeled U'; Use  $C_2$  to label  $\overline{U'}$ ; Update  $C_1$  with pseudo-labeled U';

**Figure 4. Training Framework** 

Both of our models obtain the best scores on precision

• The performance curve shows our models are learning

Human evaluation result shows that our model can provide good basis for generating slides.

	Relevance	Coverage	Overall
Author	4.33	3.99	-
Ours	3.56	3.52	3.54

1. Bird, S.; Dale, R.; Dorr, B.; Gibson, B.; Joseph, M.; Kan, M. Y.; Lee, D.; Powley, B.; Radev, D.; and Tan, Y. F. 2008. The ACL Anthology Reference Corpus: A Reference Dataset for **Bibliographic Research in Computational Linguistics.** 

2. Zhou, Q.; Yang, N.; Wei, F.; Huang, S.; Zhou, M.; and Zhao, T. 2018. Neural Document Summarization by Jointly Learning to Score and Select Sentences.